Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/657,748	BERINGER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	JOHNNA R. LOFTIS	3624
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) JOHNNA R. LOFTIS.	(3)	
onv Lombardi, 53232. (4)		
Date of Interview: 15 April 2005.		
Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No. If Yes, brief description:		
Claim(s) discussed: 62-65.		
Identification of prior art discussed:		
Agreement with respect to the claims f)□ was reached. g)□ was not reached. h)□ N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Lombardi informed Examinar claims 57-65 were not rejected in the Final Office Action mailed 21609. Examiner agreed to resent final and restrict time period.		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW, GO MEPS Saction 1730.0, It a rely to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAIL NO DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM. WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview equipments on reverse add or on attached sheet.		